

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

IN RE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY
AVERAGE WHOLESAL PRICE
LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
01-CV-12257-PBS

MDL No. 1456

CIVIL ACTION: 01-CV-12257-PBS

Judge Patti B. Saris

Chief Mag. Judge Marianne B. Bowler

STATUS REPORT OCTOBER 2012

Pursuant to the Court's June 17, 2004 Procedural Order, the undersigned counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants hereby submit the attached status report to the Court listing the status of all pending motions to date.

Respectfully Submitted,

PLAINTIFFS' LEAD COUNSEL
ON BEHALF OF ALL PLAINTIFFS

By /s/ Steve W. Berman

Steve W. Berman

Sean R. Matt

Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP

1918 8th Avenue, Suite 3300

Seattle, WA 98101

Telephone: (206) 623-7292

Facsimile: (206) 623-0594

DATED: October 3, 2012

Jennifer Fountain Connolly

Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP

1629 K Street, NW

Suite 300

Washington, D.C. 20006

Telephone: (202) 355-6435

Facsimile: (202) 355-6455

Jeffrey Kodroff
John Macoretta
Spector, Roseman Kodroff & Willis, P.C.
1818 Market Street, Suite 2500
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Telephone: (215) 496-0300
Facsimile: (215) 496-6611

Kenneth A. Wexler
Wexler Wallace LLP
55 W. Monroe, Suite 3300
Chicago, IL 60603
Telephone: (312) 346-2222
Facsimile: (312) 346-0022

Marc H. Edelson
Hoffman & Edelson LLC
45 West Court Street
Doylestown, PA 18901
Telephone: (215) 230-8043
Facsimile: (215) 230-8735

ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS

DATED: October 3, 2012

By /s/ Heather K. McDevitt
Heather K. McDevitt, Esq.
Paul B. Carberry, Esq.
WHITE & CASE LLP
1155 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

Attorneys for Defendant Sandoz Inc.

MDL 1456 STATUS CHART

| CLASS CASES | MDL DOCKET NO. | ORIGINAL JURISDICTION | STATUS |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Master Consolidated Class Action | Master File 01-CV-12257-PBS | D. Mass. pursuant to JPML Order | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ May 3, 2004 – Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel the Production of Documents Created During the Relevant Time Period from Defendants Abbott Laboratories, AstraZeneca, Schering Plough, Sisor and Together Rx Defendants <i>sub judice</i> (Magistrate Judge Bowler) [Docket No. 826] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ May 17, 2004 – Certain Defendants’ Notice of Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel the Production of Certain Documents and Consent Motion for an Extension of Time [Docket No. 838] ○ May 26, 2004 – Notice of Withdrawal of Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel the Production of Documents Created During the Relevant Time Period Directed at Defendant Schering–Plough (<i>Note: withdrawal applies only to Schering-Plough</i>) [Docket No. 851] ○ May 27, 2004 – Consent Motion for an Extension of Time to Oppose the Motion to Compel [Docket No. 855] ○ May 27, 2004 – Opposition of the Together Rx Defendants to Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel the Production of Documents [Docket No. 854] ○ May 28, 2004 – Notice of Withdrawal of Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel the Production of Documents Created During the Relevant Time Period Directed at Defendant Abbott Laboratories (<i>Note: withdrawal applies only to Abbott Laboratories</i>) [Docket No. 857] ○ June 8, 2004 – Notice of Withdrawal of Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel the Production of Documents Created During the Relevant Time Period Directed at Defendant AstraZeneca. (<i>Note: withdrawal applies only to AstraZeneca</i>) [Docket No. 863] ○ June 9, 2004 – Plaintiffs’ Reply Memorandum in Support of Motion to Compel the Production of Documents Created During the Relevant Time Period from the Together Rx Defendants [Docket No. 864] ○ June 22, 2004 – Order granting Plaintiffs’ Motion to Withdraw Motion to Compel Directed at Abbott Laboratories [Electronic Order] ○ Awaiting scheduling of hearing or ruling by Court as to Together Rx Defendants ○ February 23, 2005 – Third Party Schaller Anderson Inc.’s Objection and Motion to Quash Plaintiffs’ Subpoena ○ June 14, 2005 – Defendant Sisor Inc. and Sisor Pharmaceuticals, Inc.’s Motion for Protective Order with Memorandum in Support <i>sub judice</i> (Magistrate Judge Bowler) [Docket No. 1555] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ June 28, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Response to Sisor’s Motion for Protective Order [Docket No. 1568] ○ July 6, 2005 – Motion for Leave to File Reply to Plaintiffs’ Response to Sisor’s Motion for Protective Order [Docket No. 1580] |

| CLASS CASES | MDL DOCKET NO. | ORIGINAL JURISDICTION | STATUS |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Master Consolidated Class Action (continued) | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 9, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Motion for Order Exempting Defendant Abbott Laboratories from Any Order Refusing to Extend Deadline for Track Two Discovery [Docket No. 1875] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 23, 2005 – Defendant Abbott Laboratories’ Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Order Exempting Defendant Abbott Laboratories from Any Order Declining to Extend Deadline for Track Two Discovery [Docket No. 1904] ○ December 1, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Letter to Magistrate Judge Bowler Requesting Postponement of Hearing until Track Two Discovery Issues Resolved ○ November 28, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Motion for Clarification of Case Management Order #16 [Docket No. 1912] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 30, 2005 – Certain Track II Defendants’ Request for Expedited Hearing [Docket No. 1923] ○ November 30, 2005 – Response of Defendant Watson Pharma, Inc. to Plaintiffs’ “Motion for Clarification of Case Management Order #16” [Docket No. 1924] ○ December 1, 2005 – Order re: Plaintiffs’ Motion for Clarification of Case Management Order #16 [Docket No. 1925] ○ December 9, 2005 – Track II Defendants’ Submission Regarding Discovery Schedule [Docket No. 1950] ○ December 9, 2005 – Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.’s Response to Court Order Regarding Discovery Schedule [Docket No. 1951] ○ December 9, 2005 – Defendants Baxter Healthcare Corporation and Baxter International Inc.’s Memorandum Regarding Track II Discovery Schedule [Docket No. 1952] ○ December 9, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Memorandum in Support of Their Proposed Track II Discovery Schedule [Docket No. 1953] ○ December 15, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Reply to Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.’s Response to Court Order Regarding Discovery Schedule [Docket No. 1964] ○ December 16, 2005 – Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.’s Motion for Leave to File Reply Memorandum in Support of Its Response to Court Order Regarding Discovery Schedule [Docket No. 1970] ○ February 16, 2006 – Dey, Inc.’s and Abbott Laboratories’ Objections to the Order of Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler Issued on February 4, 2006 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 72(a) [Docket No. 2140] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ February 22, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Assented-to Motion for Leave to Reply to Dey, Inc.’s and Abbott Laboratories’ Objections to the Order of Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler Issued on February 4, 2006 to File Such Reply Until March 8, 2006 [Docket No. 2148] ○ March 8, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave to File Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Dey, Inc.’s and Abbott Laboratories’ Objections to Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler’s Order Denying Further Discovery of Massachusetts Health Plans [Docket No. 2237] |

| CLASS CASES | MDL DOCKET NO. | ORIGINAL JURISDICTION | STATUS |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Master Consolidated Class Action (continued) | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ March 8, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Dey, Inc.’s and Abbott Laboratories’ Objections to Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler’s Order Denying Further Discovery of Massachusetts Health Plans [Docket No. 2238] ○ February 21, 2006 – United Healthcare, Inc. and United HealthCare Insurance Co.’s Limited Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Order Granting Defendants’ Motion to Compel [Docket No. 2144] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ March 10, 2006 – Defendants’ Response to Third Party United Healthcare, Inc. and United HealthCare Insurance Company’s Objections to Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler’s Order Granting Defendants’ Motion to Compel [Docket No. 2243] ○ May 8, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Motion to Certify Claims with Respect to Track 2 Defendants [Docket No. 2522] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ May 16, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave to Join Harold Bean as a Plaintiff and a Proposed Class Representative [Docket No. 2584] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ May 19, 2006 – Track Two Defendants’ Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave to Join Harold Bean as a Plaintiff and Class Representative [Docket No. 2590] ▪ May 24, 2006 – Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corp.’s Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave to Join Harold Bean as a Plaintiff and Class Representative [Docket No. 2599] ○ May 16, 2006 – Track Two Defendants’ Motion to Strike Harold Bean as a Proposed Class Representative [Docket No. 2582] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ May 26, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Memorandum in Opposition to Motion to Strike Harold Bean as a Proposed Class Representative [Docket No. 2608] ○ June 15, 2006 – Defendants’ Individual Memoranda of Law in Opposition to Track 2 Class Certification (<i>individual memorandum filed by each of the Track 2 Defendants</i>) (Redacted versions filed in last week of June/first week of July 2006) [Docket No. 2687] ○ July 19, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Reply Memorandum in Support of Motion to Certify Claims With Respect to Track 2 Defendants [Docket No. 2889] ○ August 4, 2006 – Track Two Proposal Concerning the Appointment of a Neutral Expert to Advise the Court on Track Two Class Certification [Docket No. 2957] ○ August 7, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Response to Track Two Proposal Concerning the Appointment of a Neutral Expert to Advise the Court on Track Two Class Certification [Docket No. 2964] ○ August 25, 2006 – Track Two Defendants’ Memorandum Regarding Harold Bean, in Further Opposition to Class Certification [Docket No. 3018] ○ August 25, 2006 – Track Two Defendants’ Memorandum in Opposition to Class Certification [Docket No. 3020] |

| CLASS CASES | MDL DOCKET NO. | ORIGINAL JURISDICTION | STATUS |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Master Consolidated Class Action (continued) | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ September 5, 2006 – Plaintiff’s Response to Track 2 Defendants’ Memorandum Regarding Harold Bean [Notice of Filing Under Seal - Docket No. 3063], Declaration of Donald E. Haviland [Docket No. 3065] ○ September 6, 2006 – Track Two Defendants’ Notice of Supplemental Evidence Relevant to Class Certification [Docket No. 3071] ○ September 11, 2006 – Track Two Defendants’ Supplemental Filing Regarding the Track Two Defendants’ Motion for Leave to File the Rebuttal Declaration of Steven J. Young in Support of Track 2 Defendants’ Opposition to Class Certification or, in the Alternative, Motion to Strike the Hartman Track 2 Dyckman Rebuttal, Hartman Track 2 Young Rebuttal and the Rosenthal Rebuttal) [Notice of Filing Under Seal - Docket No. 3093] ○ September 11, 2006 – Track Two Defendants’ Notice of Supplemental Authority Relevant to Class Certification [Docket No. 3094] ○ October 16, 2006 – Class Plaintiffs’ Submission of the “Generics Chart” Pursuant to the Oral Request of the Court During the Class Certification Hearing in Connection with Track 2 (corrected October 17, 2006) [Docket No. 3220; Corrected Docket No. 3223] ○ December 21, 2006 – Track Two Defendants’ Motion to Strike the “Generics Chart” and Proposed Track Two Class Certification Order Submitted by Plaintiffs’ in Support of Their Motion for Class Certification [Docket No. 3499] ○ July 25, 2007 – Defendant Amgen Inc.’s Supplemental Memorandum in Opposition to Class Certification [Docket No. 4506] ○ August 8, 2007 – Class Plaintiffs’ Response to Amgen and Watson’s Supplemental Opposition to Class Certification [Docket No. 4609] ○ August 23, 2007 – Memorandum of Plaintiffs and Class 1 Representatives Harold Carter, Rev. David Aaronson, and Harold Bean in Response to Defendant Amgen, Inc.’s Supplemental Memorandum in Opposition to Class Certification [Docket No. 4641] ○ August 31, 2007 – Plaintiffs’ Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Track 2 Class Certification Regarding State Law Issues [Docket No. 4675] ○ September 21, 2007 – Individual Memorandum of Aventis Pharmaceuticals Inc. in Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Track 2 Class Certification Regarding State Law Issues [Docket No. 4738] ○ September 21, 2007 – Response of Defendants Amgen Inc. and Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc. to Plaintiffs’ Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Track 2 Class Certification Regarding State Law Issues [Docket No. 4740] ○ September 7, 2007 – Plaintiffs’ Submission of Supplemental Authority Relating to Track 2 Class Certification and State Law Issues [Docket No. 4691] ○ September 14, 2007 – Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.’s Response to Class Plaintiffs’ Response to Amgen and Watson’s Supplemental Opposition to Class Certification [Docket No. 4716] |

| CLASS CASES | MDL DOCKET NO. | ORIGINAL JURISDICTION | STATUS |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Master Consolidated Class Action (continued) | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ June 22, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Motion to Quash May 18, 2006 Amended Notice of Deposition of Harvey Weintraub Filed by Defendant Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation [Docket No. 2755] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ June 22, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave to File Under Seal Plaintiffs’ Memorandum and Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Steve W. Berman in Support of Motion to Quash May 18, 2006 Amended Notice of Deposition of Harvey Weintraub Filed by Defendant Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation [Docket No. 2754] ○ July 6, 2006 – Warrick’s Memorandum in Opposition to Certain Plaintiffs’ Motion to Quash May 18, 2006 Amended Notice of Deposition of Harvey Weintraub and in Support of Cross Motion for Leave to Take Deposition of Harvey Weintraub for Purposes of Preservation of Testimony [Docket No. 2840] ○ July 20, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Memorandum in Opposition to Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation’s Cross-Motion for Leave to Take the Deposition of Harvey Weintraub for Purposes of Preservation of Testimony [Docket No. 2898] ○ August 3, 2006 – Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation’s Reply Memorandum in Support of Its Cross Motion for Leave to Take the Deposition of Harvey Weintraub for Purposes of Preservation of Testimony [Docket No. 2950-2] ○ November 2, 2006 – Defendant Immunex Corporation’s Objections to October 23, 2006 Order by Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler Regarding Immunex’s Motion for Protective Order Regarding May 17, 2006, Deposition Notice [Docket No. 3303] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 9, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Memorandum In Response to Immunex Corporation’s Objections to October 23, 2006 Order by Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler Denying Immunex’s Motion for Protective Order [Notice of Filing Under Seal – Docket No. 3331] ○ November 6, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Objections to the October 23, 2006 Order by Chief Magistrate Bowler Re: Amgen Inc.’s Motion for Protective Order Relating to Plaintiffs’ Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Notice Regarding Sales and Marketing [Docket No. 3323] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 14, 2006 – Amgen Inc.’s Response to Plaintiffs’ Objections to Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler’s October 23, 2006 Order Granting Amgen Inc.’s Motion for Protective Order [Docket No. 3361] ○ February 22, 2007 – Plaintiffs’ Objections to February 12, 2007 Order by Magistrate Judge Bowler Granting Defendant Abbott Laboratories’ Motion for Protective Order and Motion to Quash Plaintiffs’ Third Party Subpoenas [Docket No. 3802] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ March 8, 2007 – Abbott Laboratories Response to Plaintiffs’ Objections to February 12, 2007 Order by Magistrate Judge Bowler Granting Defendant Abbott Laboratories’ Motion for Protective Order and Motion to Quash Plaintiffs’ Third Party Subpoenas [Docket No. 3837] |

| CLASS CASES | MDL DOCKET NO. | ORIGINAL JURISDICTION | STATUS |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Master Consolidated Class Action (continued) | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ March 23, 2007 – Plaintiffs’ Reply Brief in Support of Their Objections to February 12, 2007 Order by Magistrate Judge Bowler Granting Defendant Abbott Laboratories’ Motion for Protective Order and Motion to Quash Plaintiffs’ Third Party Subpoenas [Docket No. 3915] ○ March 22, 2007 – Declaration of G. Raymond Pironti, Jr. Regarding Time Expended for Preparing Direct Testimony via Declaration and Traveling from Tampa, Florida to Boston Pursuant to Subpoena to Appear for Trial Testimony and Request for Reimbursement [Docket No. 3945] ○ July 13, 2007 – Notice of Submission to Court of Declaration and Request for Determination of Proprietary Filing Under Seal [Docket No. 4460] ○ July 27, 2007 – Class Counsel’s Response to the Declaration of Donald E. Haviland, Jr. In Response to Court Directive Concerning AstraZeneca Settlement [Docket No. 4510] ○ August 24, 2007 – Declaration of Donald E. Haviland, Jr., in Response to Court Directive Concerning the AstraZeneca Settlement [Docket No. 4660] ○ October 23, 2008 – Plaintiffs’ Offer of Proof Regarding Standing of Pirelli Armstrong Tire Corporation Retiree Medical Benefits Trust [Docket No. 5641] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ December 16, 2008 – Plaintiffs’ Amended Offer of Proof Regarding Standing of Pirelli Armstrong Tire Corporation Retiree Medical Benefits Trust [Docket No. 5781] ○ November 20, 2008 – [Proposed] Consolidated Order Re: Motion for Nationwide Certification Relating to AstraZeneca and the BMS Group [Docket No. 5691] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 24, 2008 – Objection by AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP to the Proposed Order Submitted by Plaintiffs With Respect to the Certification Under Rule 23 of Multistate Cases [Docket No. 5705] ○ November 25, 2008 – Objection by Bristol-Myers Squibb Company to the Proposed Order Submitted by Plaintiffs With Respect to the Certification Under Rule 23 of Multistate Cases [Docket No. 5709] ○ January 26, 2009 – Order to Stay Entry of Multistate Class Certification Order Pending Appeal of Massachusetts Class Judgment [Electronic Order] ○ March 2, 2009 – Class Counsel Motion for Entry of an Order Granting Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 5934] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ [Proposed] Final Order and Judgment Granting Final Approval of the Track Two Class |

| CLASS CASES | MDL DOCKET NO. | ORIGINAL JURISDICTION | STATUS |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Master Consolidated Class Action (continued) | | | <p>Action Settlements, Approving Proposed Allocation of Settlement Funds, and Approving Class Counsel Application of Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Litigation Expenses and Compensation for Class Representatives [Docket No. 5934-2]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ March 2, 2009 – Class Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 5935]; Declaration of Katherine Kinsella Regarding Class Notice in the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 5936]; Declaration of Eric Miller Regarding Mailing of Notice to Class members [Docket No. 5937] ○ March 2, 2009 – Class Counsel's Memorandum of Law in Support of Petition for Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses and Compensation to the Class Representatives in Association with the Track 2 Settlement [Docket No. 5938]; Declaration of Marc. H. Edelson in Support of Class Plaintiffs' Joint Petition for Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses in Relation to Track 2 Settlement [Docket No. 5939] ○ March 16, 2009 – Memorandum of Named Consumer Plaintiffs in Opposition to Approval of the Proposed Track Two Nationwide Class Certification and Settlement [Docket No. 5953], Declaration of Donald E. Haviland, Jr. in Support of Memorandum of Named Consumer Plaintiffs in Opposition to Approval of the Proposed Track Two Nationwide Class Certification and Settlement [Docket No. 5954] ○ March 24, 2009 – Revised Memorandum of Named Consumer Plaintiffs in Opposition to Approval of the Proposed Track Two Nationwide Class Certification and Settlement [Docket No. 5971] ○ April 9, 2009 – Class Plaintiffs' Response to Objections to Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6003], Declaration of Steve W. Berman in Support of Class Plaintiffs' Response to Objections to Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6004], Declaration of Thomas M. Sobol in Support of Class Plaintiffs' Response to Objections to Final Approval to the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6005], Declaration of Jeffrey S. Goldenberg [Docket No. 6006], Declaration of Alex Sugerman-Brozanm, Co-Consumer Allocation Counsel, in Support of Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6007], Declaration of Wells Wilkinson in Support of Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6008], Declaration of Eric P. Lachance Regarding Consumer Class Members [Docket No. 6009] ○ April 21, 2009 – Class Plaintiffs' Notice of Filing in Support of Their Response to Objections to Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6015] ○ April 23, 2009 – Reply of Objectors John Pentz, Connie Pentz and Corinna Connick to Class Plaintiffs' Response to Objections to Final Approval of Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6021], Affidavit of Edward Cochran [Docket No. 6021-2] ○ April 24, 2009 – Affidavit of Donald E. Haviland, Jr., in Support of Evidentiary Submission for Track Two Fairness Hearing [Docket No. 6026] |

| CLASS CASES | MDL DOCKET NO. | ORIGINAL JURISDICTION | STATUS |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Master Consolidated Class Action (continued) | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ January 28, 2010 – Class Plaintiffs’ Notice of Status Related to Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6867] ○ February 4, 2010 – Track Two Defendants’ Response to Class Plaintiffs’ Status Report Regarding Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6891] ○ February 2, 2011 – Plaintiffs’ Motion for Approval of Revised Notice Plan and Schedule for Final Approval of Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7399] ○ May 24, 2011 – Omnibus Objection of Named Consumer Plaintiffs in Opposition to Approval of the Proposed Track Two Nationwide Class Settlement [Docket No. 7556] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ May 26, 2011 - Class Counsel’s Motion to Strike Exhibit A to Don Haviland’s Omnibus Objection of Named Consumer Plaintiffs in Opposition to Approval of the Proposed Track Two Nationwide Class Settlement [Docket No. 7559] ○ May 24, 2011 – Opposition regarding Motion for Order to Class Counsel’s Motion for Entry of an Order Granting Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7563] ○ June 1, 2011 – Class Plaintiff’s Notice Concerning Recommendation on Use of Excess Settlement Funds in the Proposed Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7571] ○ June 1, 2011 – Class Plaintiffs’ Supplemental Response to Objections to Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7573] ○ June 1, 2011 - Supplemental Declaration of Katherine Kinsella Regarding Class Notice in the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7574] ○ June 1, 2011 - Declaration of Daniel Coggeshall Regarding Mailing of Notice and Claims Activity Related to Class 1 Members and Claims Activity Related to Classes 2 and 3 in the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7575] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ June 21, 2011 – Track Two Defendants’ Memorandum in Support of Track Two Class Action Settlement [Docket No. 7614] ▪ July 5, 2011 – Memorandum of Law by Susan Aaronson [Docket 7652] ○ July 6, 2011 – Certain Named Plaintiffs’ Objections to Class Counsel’s Proposal to Redistribute the Track Two Consumer Allocation [Docket No. 7654] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ July 7, 2011 Class-Plaintiffs’ Motion to Add Individual Plaintiffs as Class Representatives for Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7655] ○ August 3, 2011 - Defendant Amgen Inc.’s Individual Memorandum in Support of the Inclusion of Epogen® in the Proposed Track 2 Class Action Settlement [Docket No. 7695] ○ August 3, 2011 - Track Two Defendants' Memorandum in Support of the Track Two Class Action Settlement [Docket No. 7696] ○ August 5, 2011 - Corrected Exhibit A “T2-Settlement – Exhibit B Drugs Chart” [Docket No. 7727] ○ August 3, 2011 - Plaintiffs’ Supplemental Submission in Support of a Rebalanced Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7697] ○ Supplemental Declaration of Daniel Coggeshall Regarding Estimated Net Consumer Settlement Fund and Estimated Class 1 and Class 3 Consumer Payments for the Track Two Settlement [Docket |

| CLASS CASES | MDL DOCKET NO. | ORIGINAL JURISDICTION | STATUS |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Master Consolidated Class Action (continued) | | | <p>No. 7698]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ [Redacted] Declaration of Raymond S. Hartman in Support of Track 2 Settlement Allocation [Docket No. 7699] ○ Affidavit of Thomas Trusky in Support of Class Counsel's Proposal to Redistribute the Track Two Consumer Allocation and in Support of Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7700] ○ Affidavit of Mariella Laday in Support of Class Counsel's Proposal to Redistribute the Track Two Consumer Allocation and in Support of Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7701] ○ Affidavit of Muriel Tonacchio in Support of Class Counsel's Proposal to Redistribute the Track Two Consumer Allocation and in Support of Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7702] ○ [Redacted] Declaration of Steve W. Berman in Support of Plaintiffs' Supplemental Submission in Support of a Rebalanced and Redistributed Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7703] ○ August 4, 2011 - [Unredacted] Declaration of Steve W. Berman in Support of Plaintiffs' Supplemental Submission in Support of a Rebalanced And Redistributed Track Two Settlement (Filed Under Seal) [Docket No. 7706] ○ August 4, 2011 - [Unredacted] Declaration of Raymond S. Hartman in Support of Track 2 Settlement Allocation (Filed Under Seal) [Docket No. 7707] ○ August 4, 2011 - Affidavit of Agnes Swayze in Support of Class Counsel's Proposal to Redistribute the Track Two Consumer Allocation and in Support of Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7709] ○ August 5, 2011 - Response of John Pentz, Connie Pentz and Corinna Connick to Plaintiffs' Supplemental Submissions in Support of a Rebalanced Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7726] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ August 5, 2011 - Certain Named Plaintiffs' Objections to Plaintiffs' Supplemental Submission in Support of Rebalanced Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7728] ○ Declaration of Michael J. Lorusso, Esq., in Support of Opposition to Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7729] ○ Affidavit of Donald E. Haviland, Jr., in Support of Certain Named Plaintiffs' Objections to Plaintiffs' Supplemental Submission in Support of Rebalanced Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7731] ○ August 9, 2011 - Sealed Exhibit B [Docket No. 7739] ○ August 5, 2011 - Plaintiffs' Notice of Filing of [Proposed] Order Directing Notice of Track Two Settlement Revision to Affected Class Members and Scheduling Fairness Hearing [Docket No. 7730] ○ August 5, 2011 - Class Counsel's Emergency Motion to Strike the Declaration Of Michael J. Lorusso, Esq. in Support of Opposition to Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7736] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ August 5, 2011 - Plaintiffs' Response to Certain Named Plaintiffs' Objections to Plaintiffs' Supplemental Submission in Support of a Rebalanced Track Two Settlement |

| CLASS CASES | MDL DOCKET NO. | ORIGINAL JURISDICTION | STATUS |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Master Consolidated Class Action (continued) | | | <p>[Docket No. 7737]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ August 10, 2011 - Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Page 1 of Exhibit 4 offered by Don Haviland During August 8, 2011 Hearing [Docket No. 7743] ○ August 15, 2011 - Response to Class Counsel's Emergency Motion to Strike the Declaration of Michael J. Lorusso, Esq., in Support of Opposition to Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7745] ○ August 15, 2011 - Response to Class Counsel's Motion to Strike Page 1 of Exhibit 4 Offered by Don Haviland During August 8, 2011 Hearing [Docket No. 7746] ○ August 19, 2011 - [Redacted] Plaintiffs' Second Supplemental Submission in Support of a Rebalanced Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7750] ○ Declaration of Steve W. Berman in Support of Plaintiffs' Second Supplemental Submission in Support of a Rebalanced Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7751] ○ Second Supplemental Declaration of Daniel Coggeshall Regarding Estimated Net Consumer Settlement Fund and Estimated Class 1 and Class 3 Consumer Payments for the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7752] ○ Declaration of Daniel Coggeshall Regarding the Class 3 Claims Deadline on the Website for the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7753] ○ August 22, 2011 - Plaintiffs' Second Supplemental Submission in Support of a Rebalanced Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7760] ○ August 22, 2011 - Supplemental Declaration of Raymond S. Hartman in Support of Track Two Settlement Allocation [Docket No. 7761] ○ August 26, 2011 - Track Two Defendants' Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Track Two Class Action Settlement [Docket No. 7767] ○ October 3, 2011 - Objection to Track Two Settlement by Margaret Wild [Docket No. 7830] ○ October 12, 2011 – Letter/request from Sean R. Matt to Margaret Wild [Docket No. 7837] ○ October 14, 2011 - Objection to Track Two Settlement by Phyllis Granat [Docket No. 7840] ○ October 21, 2011 – Letter/request from Sean R. Matt to Phyllis Granat re Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7857] ○ October 14, 2011 - Objection to Track Two Settlement by Cynthia L. Schubert [Docket No. 7841] ○ October 21, 2011 – Letter/request from Sean R. Matt to Cynthia L. Schubert re Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7856] ○ October 17, 2011 - Objection to Track Two Settlement by William S. Fairey, Jr., Executor [Docket No. 7842] ○ October 21, 2011 – Letter/request from Sean R. Matt to William S. Fairey, Jr. re Track Two Class Action Settlement [Docket No. 7855] ○ October 20, 2011 - Objection to Track Two Settlement by Michael Timothy Iglesias [Docket No. 7852] ○ October 21, 2011 – Objection to Track Two Settlement by Carol Geiselhart [Docket No. 7854] ○ November 3, 2011 – Objection to Track Two Settlement by Cynthia Schubert [Docket No. 7883] ○ November 10, 2011 – Plaintiffs' Response to the Court's November 4, 2011 Electronic Order |

| CLASS CASES | MDL DOCKET NO. | ORIGINAL JURISDICTION | STATUS |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Master Consolidated Class Action (continued) | | | <p>Regarding Docket Numbers 7852, 7854 and 7883 [Docket No. 7896]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 18, 2011 – Objection to Track Two Settlement Revision by Nancy E. Wildes [Docket No. 7917] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 18, 2011 – Plaintiffs’ Third Supplemental Submission in Support of Final Approval of the Rebalanced Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7918] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Declaration of Daniel Coggeshall in Support [Docket No. 7919] ○ November 21, 2011 – Plaintiffs’ Response to the Court’s November 18, 2011 Electronic Order Regarding Docket Number 7917 [Docket No. 7925] ○ December 7, 2011 – Response to Proposed Final Order [Docket No. 7975] ○ December 8, 2011 – Plaintiffs’ Response to the Kline & Specter Group’s Dkt. No. 7975 Filing [Docket No. 7977] ○ December 8, 2011 – Judge Saris order regarding Dkt. No. 7975: “The parties shall attempt to resolve their dispute. Any unresolved issues regarding attorneys fees shall be presented to the Court by 1/15/2012.” [Docket No. 7978] ○ March 27, 2009 – Class Plaintiffs’ Motion for Protective Order with Regard to Muriel Tonacchio Deposition [Docket No. 5979] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ March 27, 2009 – Class Plaintiffs’ Memorandum in Support of Their Motion for Protective Order with Regard to Muriel Tonacchio Deposition [Docket No. 5980]; Declaration of Jennifer Fountain Connolly [Docket No. 5981] ○ April 23, 2009 – Named Consumer Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Class Plaintiffs’ Motion for Protective Order with Regard to Muriel Tonacchio Deposition [Docket No. 6019], Named Consumer Plaintiffs’ Memorandum in Opposition to Class Plaintiffs’ Motion for Protective Order with Regard to Muriel Tonacchio Deposition [Docket No. 6019] ○ March 27, 2009 – Motion by Prescription Access Litigation, Community Catalyst and Health Care for All to Quash Subpoenas Duces Tecum Served by Don Haviland [Docket No. 5982] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ March 27, 2009 – Memorandum of Prescription Access Litigation, Community Catalyst and Health Care for All in Support of Their Motion to Quash Subpoenas Duces Tecum Served by Don Haviland [Docket No. 5983]; Declaration of Jennifer Fountain Connolly [Docket No. 5984] ○ April 23, 2009 – Named Consumer Plaintiffs’ Response to Motion by Prescription Access Litigation, Community Catalyst and Health Care For All to Quash Subpoenas Duces Tecum [Docket No. 6020], Named Consumer Plaintiffs’ Memorandum in Opposition to Motion by Prescription Access Litigation, Community Catalyst and Health Care For All to Quash Subpoenas Duces Tecum [Docket No. 6020] ○ November 23, 2009 – The J&J Defendants’ Post-Remand Motion for Summary Judgment Against Class 1 Residents of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts [Docket No. 6667] |

| CLASS CASES | MDL DOCKET NO. | ORIGINAL JURISDICTION | STATUS |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Master Consolidated Class Action (continued) | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 23, 2009 – The J&J Defendants’ Memorandum in Support of Their Post-Remand Motion for Summary Judgment Against Class 1 Residents of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts [Docket No. 6668], The J&J Defendants’ Local Rule 56.1 Statement in Support of Their Post-Remand Motion for Summary Judgment Against Class 1 Residents of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts [Docket No. 6669] ○ January 15, 2010 – Plaintiffs’ Combined Opposition to the J&J Defendants’ Post-Remand Motions for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6834], Plaintiffs’ Local Rule 56.1 Counter-Statement of Disputed Material Facts in Opposition to the J&J Defendants’ Post-Remand Motions for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6835], Declaration of Steve W. Berman in Support [Docket No. 6836] ○ January 29, 2010 – The J&J Defendants’ Reply Memorandum in Support of Their Post-Remand Motion for Summary Judgment Against Class 1 Residents of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts [Docket No. 6869] ○ November 23, 2009 – The J&J Defendants’ Post-Remand Motion for Summary Judgment Against Class 1 Residents of the District of Columbia and States Other Than the Commonwealth of Massachusetts [Docket No. 6671] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 23, 2009 – The J&J Defendants’ Memorandum in Support of Their Post-Remand Motion for Summary Judgment Against Class 1 Residents of the District of Columbia and States Other than the Commonwealth of Massachusetts [Docket No. 6672] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ November 24, 2009 – The J&J Defendants’ Notice of Filing of Corrected Appendix [Docket No. 6683] ○ November 23, 2009 – The J&J Defendants’ Local Rule 56.1 Statement in Support of Their Post-Remand Motion for Summary Judgment Against Class 1 Residents of the District of Columbia and States other than the Commonwealth of Massachusetts [Docket No. 6673] ○ January 15, 2010 – Plaintiffs’ Combined Opposition to the J&J Defendants’ Post-Remand Motions for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6834], Plaintiffs’ Local Rule 56.1 Counter-Statement of Disputed Material Facts in Opposition to the J&J Defendants’ Post-Remand Motions for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6835], Declaration of Steve W. Berman in Support [Docket No. 6836] ○ January 29, 2010 – The J&J Defendants’ Reply Memorandum in Support of Their Post-Remand Motion for Summary Judgment Against Class 1 Residents of the District of Columbia and States other than The Commonwealth of Massachusetts [Docket No. 6870] ○ March 5, 2010 – The J&J Defendants’ Supplemental Authority in Support of the J&J Defendants’ Post-Remand Motion for Summary Judgment Against Class 1 Residents of the District of Columbia and States Other than the Commonwealth of Massachusetts [Docket No. 6947] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ March 15, 2010 – Plaintiffs’ Response to the J&J Defendants’ Supplemental |

| CLASS CASES | MDL DOCKET NO. | ORIGINAL JURISDICTION | STATUS |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Master Consolidated Class Action (continued) | | | <p>Authority [Docket No. 6977]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ June 29, 2010 – Status Report – Class 1 Plaintiffs’ Status Report Regarding J&J Defendants [Docket No. 7151]; Response by Centocor, Inc., Johnson & Johnson [Docket No. 7152] ▪ July 19, 2010 – Brief by All Plaintiffs – Class 1 Plaintiffs’ Statement Regarding Position Take by the Department of Justice Regarding Dr. Hartman’s 30% Benchmark [Docket No. 7188]; Declaration by Steve Berman re: 7188 [Docket No. 7189]; Statement of Counsel by Johnson & Johnson [Docket No. 7190] ▪ July 21, 2010 – Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Concerning Proposed Class 1 Representative, Mrs. Jimmie Oustad [Docket No. 7193] ▪ August 6, 2010 - Judge Saris Stipulation and Order Concerning Proposed Class 1 Representative, Mrs. Jimmie Oustad [Docket No. 7219] ▪ September 3, 2010 – Judge Saris Memorandum and Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 7248] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ April 13, 2011 – Notice Regarding Involvement of HealthCare for All in Track 2 Settlement by Don Haviland [Docket No. 7501] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ April 14, 2011 – Class Counsel’s Response [Docket No. 7504] ○ May 2, 2011 – Reply in Response to Class Counsel’s Response to Notice Regarding Involvement of HealthCare for All in Track 2 Settlement [Docket No. 7527] ○ April 21, 2011 – Motion to Vacate or, in the Alternative, Modify the Court’s June 22, 2010 Order By Donald Haviland [Docket No. 7511] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ May 19, 2011 – Opposition to Motion to Vacate or, in the Alternative, Modify the Court’s June 22, 2010 Order [Docket No. 7547] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Declaration of Steve W. Berman in Support [Docket No. 7548] ○ April 28, 2011 – Lead Counsel’s Motion for Attorneys’ Fees and Costs and Compensation to the Class Representatives in Association with the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7515] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ April 28, 2011 – Memorandum in Support [Docket No. 7516] ○ April 28, 2011 – Declaration of Steve Berman in Support ○ April 28, 2011 – Declaration of Marc Edelson in Support ○ July 5, 2011 – Class Counsel’s Proposal to Redistribute the Track Two Consumer Allocation [Docket No. 7647] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ July 5, 2011 – Declaration of Daniel Coggeshall Regarding Estimated Net Consumer Settlement Fund and Estimated Class 1 and Class 3 Consumer Payments for the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7648] ▪ July 5, 2011 – Declaration of Steve W. Berman in Support [Docket No. 7649] ○ September 15, 2011 – Lead Counsel’s Motion for Attorney’s Fees and Costs and |

| CLASS CASES | MDL DOCKET NO. | ORIGINAL JURISDICTION | STATUS |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Master Consolidated Class Action (continued) | | | <p>Compensation to the Class Representatives in Association with the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 7795]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 17, 2011 – Plaintiff’s Motion for Modification of Fee Allocation [Docket No. 7908] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 17, 2011 – Memorandum in Support [Docket No. 7909] ○ November 17, 2011 – Affidavit of Kent Williams in Support [Docket No. 7910] ○ November 17, 2011 – Proposed Addendum [Docket No. 7911] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ November 18, 2011 – Notice of Joinder in Motion for Modification of Lead Counsel’s Allocation of Fees to Include Time and Expense Incurred in Related State Court Actions [Docket No. 7923] ▪ Amended Notice of Joinder [Docket No. 7939] ○ December 1, 2011 – Lead Class Counsel’s Opposition to the Williams Motion to Modify Lead Counsel’s Allocation of Fees to Include Time and Expense Incurred in State Court Actions [Docket No. 7957] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ December 1, 2011 – Declaration of Steve W. Berman in Support [Docket No. 7958] ▪ December 2, 2011 – Sealed Exhibits 2 and 4 to Declaration [Docket No. 7969] ○ January 17, 2012 – Reply to Response to Motion for Modification of Fee Allocation [Docket No. 8036] ○ January 17, 2012 – Levy’s Reply in Support of Motion and of Levy’s Amended Notice of Joinder in Motion 7939 [Docket No. 8040] ○ January 17, 2012 - Lead Class Counsel’s Supplemental Opposition to the Williams Motion to Modify Lead Counsel’s Allocation of Fees to Include Time and Expense Incurred in State Court Actions {Docket No. 8041} <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ January 17, 2012 – Declaration of Steve W. Berman in Support [Docket No. 8042] ○ January 25, 2012 – Order: “I refer these motions (7908, 7941, 8000) to mediation. [Docket No. 8050] ○ February 8, 2012 - Lead Class Counsel's Response to the Court's Order of January 25, 2012 (Dkt. No. 8050) [Docket No. 8067] ○ March 13, 2012 – First Notice of Withdrawal of Participation re Motion for Modification of Fee Allocation by Larry J. Crown [Docket No. 8100] ○ March 27, 2012 – Notice of Movant Levy’s Withdrawal of All Motions and Joinder in Motions for Modification of Lead Counsel’s Allocation of Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses {Docket No. 8109] ○ December 1, 2011 – Motion for Modification of Lead Counsel’s Allocation of Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses to Include Uncounted Time and Expenses Incurred Directly in this MDL [Docket No. 7941] |

| CLASS CASES | MDL DOCKET NO. | ORIGINAL JURISDICTION | STATUS |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Master Consolidated Class Action (continued) | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ December 1, 2011 – Memorandum in Support [Docket No. 7942] ○ December 1, 2011 – Affidavit of Adam S. Levy in Support [Docket No. 7943] ○ December 1, 2011 – Proposed Addendum [Docket No. 7944] ○ December 14, 2011 – Plaintiffs’ Motion to Respond to Dkt. No. 7941 on January 15, 2011 [Docket No. 7988] ○ December 14, 2011 – Response and Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion to Respond to Dkt. No. 7941 on January 15, 2011 [Docket No. 7989] ○ December 15, 2011 – Judge Saris order regarding Dkt. No. 7988: “Work it out”. ○ January 4, 2012 – Lead Class Counsel’s Opposition to Adam Levy’s Motion for Modification of Lead Counsels Allocation of Fees & Reimbursement of Expenses Levy Accrued While Working at the Haviland Law Firm [Docket No. 8014] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ January 4, 2012 - Declaration of Steve W. Berman in Support [Docket No. 8015] ○ January 4, 2012 - Plaintiffs’ Notice of Filing Under Seal [Docket No. 8017] ○ January 5, 2012 - Reply to Response to Motion to Respond to Dkt. No. 7941 on January 15, 2011 [Docket No. 8024] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ January 5, 2012 – Declaration in Support [Docket No. 8025] ○ January 17, 2012 – Reply to Response to Motion for Modification of Lead Counsel’s Allocation of Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses to Include Uncounted Time and Expenses Incurred Directly in this MDL [Docket No. 8037] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Supplemental Affidavit of Adam S. Levy in Support [Docket No. 8039] ○ January 25, 2012 – Order: “I refer these motions (7908, 7941, 8000) to mediation. [Docket No. 8050] ○ February 8, 2012 - Lead Class Counsel's Response to the Court's Order of January 25, 2012 (Dkt. No. 8050) [Docket No. 8067] ○ December 29, 2011 – Co-Lead Counsel, Hoffman & Edelson, LLC’s Ex Parte Motion Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 23(h) to Stay Co-Lead Counsel’s Distribution of Fees with Regard to the Class Settlements [Docket No. 8000] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ December 29, 2011 – Affidavit of Marc H. Edelson in Support [Docket No. 8001] ○ December 29, 2011 – Memorandum in Support [Docket No. 8002] ○ December 29, 2011 – Proposed Order [Docket No. 8003] ○ January 12, 2012 – Lead Class Counsel’s Opposition to Marc Edelson’s Motion to Stay Co-Lead Counsel’s Distribution of Fees With Regard to the Class Settlements [Docket No. 8029] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ January 12, 2012 – Declaration of Steve W. Berman in Support [Docket No. 8030] ○ January 20, 2012 – Reply to Response to Motion for Attorney Fees [Docket No. 8045] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ January 20, 2012 – Sealed Exhibits 1-5 [Docket No. 8046] ○ January 25, 2012 – Order: “I refer these motions (7908, 7941, 8000) to mediation. [Docket No. 8050] ○ February 8, 2012 - Lead Class Counsel's Response to the Court's Order of January 25, 2012 (Dkt. |

| CLASS CASES | MDL DOCKET NO. | ORIGINAL JURISDICTION | STATUS |
|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | | | <p>No. 8050) [Docket No. 8067]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ February 7, 2012 – Letter/request (non-motion) from Alan Lansing re AstraZeneca Class 2 and 3 Consumer Settlements [Docket No. 8065] ○ March 16, 2012 – Electronic Order: “Class Counsel shall file a response to this request by 03/23/2012” ○ ○ March 22, 2012 – Lead Class Counsel’s Response to the Court’s Electronic Order of March 16, 2012 Regarding Mr. Lansing [Docket No. 8103] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ March 22, 2012 – Declaration of Edward Notargiacomo Regarding Lansing Claim [Docket No. 8104] ○ March 22, 2012 – Declaration of Daniel Coggeshall Re: Request for Reissuance of Distribution Check [Docket No. 8105] ○ March 26, 2012 – Lead Class Counsels Motion for Referral of Fee Dispute to Binding Arbitration [Docket No. 8108] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ March 28, 2012 – Response to Motion filed by Kline & Specter, P.C. [Docket No. 8110] ○ March, 29, 2012 – Response to Motion For Referral of Fee Dispute to Binding Arbitration filed by Kent Williams [Docket No. 8111] ○ April 2, 2012 – Electronic Order: “ALLOWED with respect to Kline & Specter” (Docket No. 8115 ○ April 2, 2012 – Electronic Order: “The Court refers this matter for nonbinding arbitration to the Magistrate Judge. I suggest you agree to arbitration before Judge Gertner, at least on a nonbinding basis.” [Docket No. 8116] ○ April 2, 2012 – Electronic Order – Motions referred to Judge Bowler. ○ April 26, 2012 – Class Counsels' Motion To Destroy Documents [Docket No. 8130] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ May 15, 2012 – Electronic Order – “Allowed without Opposition.” ○ June 28, 2012 – Letters from class plaintiffs re track two settlement [Docket No. 8161] |